

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/660,753	09/13/2000	Chin-Huang Chang	6319-56134	7237
75	90 10/10/2002			
Klarquist Sparkman Campbell		EXAMINER		
Leigh & Whinston LLP			VINH, LAN	
	de Center Suite 1600			
121 S W Salmon Street			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Portland, OR	97/204		1765	
			DATE MAILED: 10/10/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

-		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
`	Adviser: Action	09/660,753	CHANG, CHIN-HUANG				
\ Advisory Action		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Lan Vinh	1765]			
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address						
THE REPLY FILED 03 October 2002 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.							
		EPLY [check either a) or b)]		Ì			
b) Extens	The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Ad event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). sions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The diffiled is the date for purposes of determining the period of exter 17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortene if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three managements.	visory Action, or (2) the date set forth in than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date is FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF Thate on which the petition under 37 CFR 1 nation and the corresponding amount of the state of the st	HE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP .136(a) and the appropriate extension fee the fee. The appropriate extension fee under the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in				
earned pat	ent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
37	Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant 7 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CI	FR 1.191(d)), to avoid distrilssa	I of the appeal.				
2.⊠ TI	he proposed amendment(s) will not be entered	because:	A NOTE belowly				
	they raise new issues that would require furt they raise new issues that would require furth they raise new issues that would require furth they raise new issues that we have a substitute that would require furth they raise new issues that we have a substitute that we have a substitut		(see NOTE below),				
(b) [$oxedsymbol{oxed}$ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note	below);	the desire or simplifying the				
	they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or						
(d) [(d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.						
	NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.						
	pplicant's reply has overcome the following reje						
(lewly proposed or amended claim(s) wou canceling the non-allowable claim(s).						
:	The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request application in condition for allowance because:	·					
6.□ ⊺	The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered by	pecause it is not directed SOLEI					
- 57 -	For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendme explanation of how the new or amended claims	ent(s) a)⊠ will not be entered o would be rejected is provided b	r b)⊡ will be entered and an below or appended.				
7	The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follow	/s:					
	Claim(s) allowed:						
	Claim(s) objected to:						
	Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-20</u> .						
	Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		ad has the Francisco				
8. 🗆	The proposed drawing correction filed on	is a)□ approved or b)□ dis	approved by the Examiner.				
9. 🗌 1	9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)						
l	10. Other:						
				_			



Continuation of 2. NOTE: The added limitations of "said second surface.....device thereon" and "to reduce semiconductor unit volume" and "to reduce die volume", as recited in amended claims 1, 11 and 19, raise new issue that would require further consideration.

BENJAMIN L. UTECH SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1700